



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,030	03/01/2002	Galen M. Martin	17812 (MHM 13509US01)	6482

7590 12/04/2002

Tyco Electronics Corporation
Suite 450
4550 New Linden Hill Road
Wilmington, DE 19808-2952

EXAMINER

LEON, EDWIN A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2833

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/087,030

Applicant(s)

MARTIN ET AL.

Examiner

Edwin A. León

Art Unit

2833

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 September 2002.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-10 and 12-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-10 and 12-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 24 October 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed September 9, 2002 in which Claims 1, 3-6, 9, 12-14, and 17-21 have been amended and Claims 2 and 11 have been cancelled, has been placed of record in the file as Paper No. 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 2-7, and 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 2 recites the limitation "the locking mechanism" in Line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 11 recites the limitation "the single connection means" in Line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1, 3-10 and 12-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis (U.S. Patent No. 5,540,598) in view of Zell (U.S. Patent No. 4,173,387). With regard to Claims 1, 5, Davis discloses an electrical connector (1) of a type which is connectable to a substrate (23), comprising: a housing (2); a plurality of electrical contacts carried by the housing (2), each contact (20) having contact interface interconnectable with a reciprocal contact interface (27) carried by the substrate (23); a contact guide (29) having a plurality of apertures (31) positioned to align and mate with the contact interfaces (22) of the contacts (20); and the housing (2) including locking post (18) configured to mate with reciprocal aperture formed in both the contact guide (29) and the substrate (23) for securing the contact guide (29) and the substrate (23) to the housing (2), the locking post (18) having a base portion (19) that is secured within the reciprocal apertures in the contact guide. See Figs. 1-2.

However, Davis doesn't show the locking post having a locking feature on a distal end thereof, the locking feature being snapably secured within the reciprocal aperture in the substrate.

Zell discloses the concept of having a locking mechanism (20) having a locking feature (28,30) on a distal end thereof, the locking feature (28,30) being snapably secured within a reciprocal aperture (68) in a substrate (64). See Figs. 1-2.

Thus, it would have been obvious of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the connector of Davis by including a locking feature on a distal end thereof, the locking feature being snapably secured within the reciprocal aperture in the substrate as taught in Zell in order to mount, couple and lock the connector to the substrate more efficiently and more firm.

With regard to Claim 3, Davis discloses the locking post (18) being sized and shaped to form an interference fit with the reciprocal aperture (32) in the contact guide (29). See Figs. 1-2.

With regard to Claim 4, Davis discloses the base portion (19) of the post (18) includes an enlarged diameter portion (19) sized to form an interference fit with the reciprocal aperture (32) formed in the contact guide (29). See Figs. 1-2.

With regard to Claim 6, Zell discloses first and second opposed legs (24,26) which are compressible towards one another for insertion into the reciprocal aperture (68) in the substrate (64). See Figs. 1-2.

With regard to Claim 7, Zell at least one of the opposed legs (24,26) including a locking feature (28,30) configured to lockingly engage with the substrate (64) when the opposed legs (24,26) are inserted into the reciprocal aperture (68) in the substrate (64). See Figs. 1-2.

Art Unit: 2833

With regard to Claim 8, Davis discloses the contact interfaces (22) comprising male pin connectors. See Figs. 1-2.

With regard to Claims 9, and 13, Davis discloses an electrical connector (1), comprising: a housing (2) having a substrate (23) end matable with a substrate (23) and a connector end (7) matable with a second electrical connector; a plurality of electrical contacts (20) carried by the housing (2), each contact (20) having a first contact interface (22) positioned in the substrate (23) end of the housing (2) for interconnection with a reciprocal contact interface (27) carried by the substrate (23) and a second contact interface (inside 7) positioned in the connector end (7) of the housing (2) for interconnection with a reciprocal contact interface carried by the second electrical connector; a contact guide (29) configured to mate with the substrate (23) end of the housing (2), the contact guide (29) including a plurality of apertures (31) positioned to matingly align with the first contact interfaces (22); and a locking post (18) having a base portion (19) for securing the housing (2) to the contact guide (29). See Figs. 1-2.

However, Davis doesn't show the locking post having a distal end snapably securing the housing to the substrate.

Zell discloses the concept of having a locking mechanism (20) having a locking feature (28,30) on a distal end thereof, the locking feature (28,30) being snapably secured within a reciprocal aperture (68) in a substrate (64). See Figs. 1-2.

Thus, it would have been obvious of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the connector of Davis by including a distal end snapably

Art Unit: 2833

securing the housing to the substrate as taught in Zell in order to mount, couple and lock the connector to the substrate more efficiently and more firm.

With regard to Claim 10, Davis discloses the first contact interface (22) being oriented perpendicular to the second contact interface (inside 7). See Figs. 1-2.

With regard to Claim 12, Davis discloses the post (18) has an enlarged portion (19) sized to form an interference fit with the reciprocal aperture (32) in the contact guide (29). See Figs. 1-2.

With regard to Claims 14-15, Zell discloses first and second opposed legs (76,77) having a locking feature (28,30) and being compressible towards one another to allow the locking mechanism (20) to be inserted into the reciprocal aperture (68) in the substrate (64). See Figs. 1-2.

With regard to Claim 16, Davis discloses the first contact interfaces (22) comprising male pin connectors. See Figs. 1-2.

With regard to Claim 17 and 19, Davis discloses an electrical connector (1), comprising: a housing (2) having a substrate (23) end matable with the substrate (23) and a connector end (7) matable with a second electrical connector; a plurality of electrical contacts (20) carried by the housing (2), each contact (20) having a first contact interface (22) positioned in the substrate end of the housing (2) for interconnection with a reciprocal contact interface (27) carried by the substrate (23) and a second contact interface (inside 7) positioned in the connector end (7) of the housing (2) for interconnection with a reciprocal contact interface carried by the second electrical connector; a contact guide (29), configured to mate with the substrate end of the housing

Art Unit: 2833

(2), the contact guide (29) including a plurality of apertures (31) positioned to matingly align with the first contact interfaces (22); and first and second posts (18) extending from the housing (2), each of the posts (18) having a base portion (19) configured to mate with a reciprocal aperture (32) formed on the contact guide (29). See Figs. 1-2.

However, Davis doesn't show the locking post having a locking feature on a distal end thereof, the locking feature being snapably secured within the reciprocal aperture in the substrate.

Zell discloses the concept of having a locking mechanism (20) having a locking feature (28,30) on a distal end thereof, the locking feature (28,30) being snapably secured within a reciprocal aperture (68) in a substrate (64). See Figs. 1-2.

Thus, it would have been obvious of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the connector of Davis by including a locking feature on a distal end thereof, the locking feature being snapably secured within the reciprocal aperture in the substrate as taught in Zell in order to mount, couple and lock the connector to the substrate more efficiently and more firm.

With regard to Claim 18, Davis discloses the post (18) has an enlarged portion (19) sized to form an interference fit with the reciprocal aperture (32) in the contact guide (29). See Figs. 1-2.

With regard to Claims 20-21, Zell discloses first and second opposed legs (76,77) having a locking feature (28,30) and being compressible towards one another to allow the locking mechanism (20) to be inserted into the reciprocal aperture (68) in the substrate (64). See Figs. 1-2.

Art Unit: 2833

With regard to Claim 22, Davis discloses the first and second contact interfaces (22) comprising male pin connectors. See Figs. 1-2.

With regard to Claim 23, Davis discloses the first contact interface (22) being oriented perpendicular to the second contact interface (inside 7). See Figs. 1-2.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-10 and 12-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2833

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edwin A. León whose telephone number is (703) 308-6253. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley can be reached on (703) 308-2319. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Edwin A. Leon
AU 2833

EAL
November 26, 2002



THO D. TA
PRIMARY EXAMINER